

# Appendix F

## Libyan Operations (1986)

Table F-1

### Assigned Event Analysis Values

DATE	EVENTA	EVENTB	EVENTC
1/2/86	0	0	0
1/3/86	0	0	0
1/6/86	0	0	0
1/7/86	1	-1	1
1/8/86	0	0	0
1/9/86	0	0	0
1/10/86	0	0	0
1/13/86	1	-1	1
1/14/86	0	0	0
1/15/86	2	-2	2
1/16/86	0	0	0
1/17/86	0	0	0
1/20/86	0	0	0
1/21/86	0	0	0
1/22/86	0	0	0
1/23/86	0	0	0
1/24/86	2	-2	2
1/27/86	1	-1	1
1/28/86	-2	-2	2
1/29/86	-2	-2	2
1/30/86	1	-1	1
1/31/86	-1	-1	1
2/3/86	-1	-1	1
2/4/86	0	0	0
2/5/86	0	0	0
2/6/86	0	0	0
2/7/86	0	0	0
2/10/86	0	0	0

**Table F-2****Assigned Event Analysis Variables**

DATE	EVENTA	EVENTB	EVENTC
2/11/86	-1	-1	1
2/12/86	3	-3	3
2/13/86	1	-1	1
2/14/86	1	-1	1
2/18/86	3	-3	3
2/19/86	0	0	0
2/20/86	0	0	0
2/21/86	0	0	0
2/24/86	0	0	0
2/25/86	0	0	0
2/26/86	0	0	0
2/27/86	0	0	0
2/28/86	0	0	0
3/3/86	0	0	0
3/4/86	0	0	0
3/5/86	0	0	0
3/6/86	0	0	0
3/7/86	0	0	0
3/10/86	0	0	0
3/11/86	0	0	0
3/12/86	0	0	0
3/13/86	0	0	0
3/14/86	0	0	0
3/17/86	0	0	0
3/18/86	0	0	0
3/19/86	0	0	0
3/20/86	0	0	0

Table F-3

## Assigned Event Analysis Variables

DATE	EVENTA	EVENTB	EVENTC
3/21/86	0	0	0
3/24/86	3	-3	3
3/25/86	1	-1	1
3/26/86	1	-1	1
3/27/86	2	-2	2
3/31/86	0	0	0
4/1/86	0	0	0
4/2/86	0	0	0
4/3/86	0	0	0
4/4/86	0	0	0
4/7/86	2	2	2
4/8/86	0	0	0
4/9/86	2	1	2
4/10/86	2	1	2
4/11/86	1	-1	1
4/14/86	2	0	2
4/15/86	3	-3	3
4/16/86	-1	-1	1
4/17/86	0	0	0
4/18/86	0	0	0
4/21/86	0	0	0
4/22/86	0	0	0
4/23/86	0	0	0
4/24/86	0	0	0
4/25/86	0	0	0
4/28/86	0	0	0
4/29/86	0	0	0
4/30/86	0	0	0

**Table F-4****Assigned Event Analysis Variables**

DATE	EVENTA	EVENTB	EVENTC
5/1/86	0	0	0
5/2/86	0	0	0
5/5/86	0	0	0
5/6/86	0	0	0
5/7/86	0	0	0
5/8/86	0	0	0
5/9/86	0	0	0
5/12/86	0	0	0
5/13/86	0	0	0
5/14/86	0	0	0
5/15/86	0	0	0
5/16/86	0	0	0
5/19/86	0	0	0
5/20/86	0	0	0
5/21/86	0	0	0
5/22/86	0	0	0
5/23/86	0	0	0
5/27/86	0	0	0
5/28/86	0	0	0
5/29/86	0	0	0
5/30/86	0	0	0

Notes: See Libyan timeline for a listing of the events. EVENTA assumes that naval actions cause increased uncertainty in the oil markets resulting in price increases, with other events' signs determined by their likely impact on oil prices. EVENTB assumes that naval actions result in reduced uncertainty in the oil markets and price declines, with other events' signs determined by their likely impact on oil markets. EVENTC assumes that all events are interpreted by the oil markets as creating instability and increased uncertainty.